

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/537,686	06/06/2005	Erik A. T. Trommelen	A005/US	8382
30522 7:	590 09/13/2006		EXAM	INER
KRATON POLYMERS U.S. LLC			SZEKELY, PETER A	
WESTHOLLOW TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3333 HIGHWAY 6 SOUTH		±K	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77082			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,686	TROMMELEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a set of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to the cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>August 2006</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-12,14-19 and 24-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan nection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/537,686 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 9-12, 14-19 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an apparent molecular weight of 310,000-380,000 and 500,000, and a vinyl content of 10-80% and 8% does not reasonably provide enablement for an apparent molecular weight of 380,0011-499,999 and a vinyl content of 9%. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Furthermore, the polymer having the 500,000 apparent molecular weight is used as a comparative example, which does not have the viscosity stability and gel resistant properties of the originally claimed composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,686 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 5. Claims 9-12, 14-19 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujiwara et al. 6,833,411, in view of Heimerikx et al. 6,949,593.
- 6. The Declaration of Mr. Korentstra is not commensurate with the newly claimed molecular weight range. There is no proof that the claimed block polymer having an apparent molecular weight of 380,001-500,000 has been ever made and blended with bitumen. The rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Fujiwara et al. disclose a number average molecular weight of 30,000-500,000, a vinyl bond of less than 40% in claim 1. References must be considered for all they disclose and must not be limited to their preferred embodiments or working examples. Heimerikx et al is cited only to show that mixed midblock triblock copolymers have low diblock content. The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is want the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. The rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1714

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 9/8/06